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The article reviews research relevant to a proposed conceptual model of exercise adherence that integrates the dual mode model and hedonic theory. Exercise intensity is posited to influence affective response to exercise via interoceptive (e.g., ventilatory drive) and cognitive (e.g., perceived autonomy) pathways; affective response to exercise is posited to influence exercise adherence via anticipated affective response to future exercise. The potential for self-paced exercise to enhance exercise adherence is examined in the context of the proposed model and suggestions are given for future research. Further evidence in support of self-paced exercise could have implications for exercise prescription, especially among overweight, sedentary adults, who are most in need of interventions that enhance adherence to exercise programs.
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Given the numerous health benefits of physical activity participation (Knowler et al., 2002; McTiernan et al., 2003; Slattery, 2004; Thompson et al., 2003; Vuori, 2001), and the dismal rates of activity among Americans (Macera et al., 2005), further understanding of physical activity mechanisms to aid in the design of effective physical activity interventions is crucial (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000). Studies attempting to identify determinants of physical activity have focused on cognitive (McAuley & Blissmer, 2000), social (Brassington, Atienza, Perczek, DiLorenzo, & King, 2002), and more recently, environmental factors (Trost, Owen, Bauman, Sallis, & Brown, 2002), consistent with prevailing theoretical models (Ajzen, 1991; Bandura, 1986; King, Stokols, Talen, Brassington, & Killingsworth, 2002; Prochaska & DiClemente, 1984; Rogers, 1983). These studies have consistently explained a modest percent of the variance in physical activity behavior (Baranowski, Anderson, & Carmack, 1998). Therefore, further understanding is necessary to produce far-reaching, meaningful, and sustainable physical activity change.
In comparison with cognitive, social, and environmental factors, affective processes as determinants of adoption and maintenance of physical activity behavior have received considerably less attention (for some notable exceptions, see Kiviniemi, Voss-Humke, & Seifert, 2007; D. M. Williams et al., 2008). Recent conceptual and methodological advances in laboratory-based studies examining acute affective responses to brief exercise stimuli (Ekkekakis, 2003; Ekkekakis, Hall, & Petruzzello, 2005; Ekkekakis & Petruzzello, 2000, 2002) have led to a growing literature examining the first link in a potential causal chain from exercise intensity to affective response to exercise adherence (Ekkekakis, Backhouse, Gray, & Lind, 2008; Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006). In addition, some researchers have suggested that prescribing self-paced exercise may have considerable promise for increasing adherence to exercise programs (Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006; Parfitt, Rose, & Burgess, 2006). For example, Ekkekakis and Lind (2006) “theorize that a causal chain exists, linking (a) the intensity of physical activity (not only its level but also whether it is imposed or self-selected), (b) affective responses (pleasure vs displeasure) and perceived exertion, and, finally, (c) adherence” (p. 653). The purpose of this article is to review existing research relevant to a proposed model of exercise intensity, affective response, and adherence, which represents an integration of the dual mode model and hedonic theory. The review focuses on self-paced exercise as a potential tool for enhancing affective response to exercise and exercise adherence.

**Recent Conceptual and Methodological Advances**

Ekkekakis and colleagues (Ekkekakis, 2003; Ekkekakis et al., 2005; Ekkekakis & Petruzzello, 2000, 2002) have provided a number of critical insights over the past several years that have laid the groundwork for examination of the proposed exercise-affect-adherence relationship. (A brief summary of the critical points is provided here, and the reader is referred to the above references for detail.) Perhaps most important to their framework, Ekkekakis and Petruzzello (2000; 2002) advocate for a dimensional approach to affect assessment instead of a categorical approach. Specifically, the circumplex model is recommended as a conceptual framework for measuring “basic” or “core” affect along two dimensions: pleasure/displeasure and high/low arousal (Ekkekakis & Petruzzello, 2002). For at least two reasons, this approach is more conducive to testing hypotheses concerning the exercise-affect-adherence relationship than a categorical approach, in which the presence or absence and magnitude of more distinct affective states (e.g., invigoration, tranquility, distress, exhaustion) are assessed. First, a dimensional approach can theoretically capture the full range of basic affective response to exercise (Ekkekakis & Petruzzello, 2000, 2002). Conversely, a categorical approach, while ideal for learning about specific affective states, may omit measurement of one or more distinct affective states that may be crucial to prediction of exercise adherence. Second, dimensional approaches tend to involve single-item assessments of basic affective dimensions that are more easily administered multiple times before, during, and following an exercise bout, whereas multi-item categorical measures of distinct affective states are more difficult to administer during exercise (Ekkekakis & Petruzzello, 2002). Indeed,
measurement of affective response only before and following exercise has led to the conclusion that acute bouts of physical activity uniformly improve affective states (Yeung, 1996). However, according to learning theory, more immediate responses to exercise behavior should be more predictive of future exercise behavior than affective experiences occurring after the exercise (e.g., Neef, Shade, & Miller, 1994), which could instead be conceptualized as affective response to completion of the exercise bout (Hall, Ekkekakis, & Petruzzello, 2002; Wininger, 2007).

The conceptual and methodological positions outlined above have attracted some controversy (Gauvin & Rejeski, 2001). Undoubtedly, there is merit to alternative approaches. Particularly, as indicated by Ekkekakis and Petruzzello (2000, p. 79; 2002, p. 46), the use of categorical approaches to measure distinct affective states is preferable to dimensional approaches if the purpose is to describe and understand specific feelings or emotions in response to exercise stimuli. For example, a categorical approach may be more useful in determining the best exercise stimulus for alleviating symptoms of clinical depression and/or anxiety. Moreover, the use of categorical and dimensional approaches should not be seen as mutually exclusive. Tellegen, Watson, and Clark (1999) have argued that affective experience is arranged hierarchically, with each basic affective experience being further understood by more distinct, categorical affective experiences. Recent studies have incorporated this hierarchical approach in examining affective response to exercise (Focht, Knapp, Gavin, Raedeke, & Hickner, 2007; Raedeke, Focht, & Scales, 2007). Nonetheless, if the purpose, as it is here, is to understand the exercise-affect-adherence link, then, for the reasons outlined above, a dimensional approach has clear advantages. Thus, this review focuses on studies that have employed a dimensional approach to affective assessment. Moreover, the review focuses on affective valence (i.e., pleasure/displeasure) responses to exercise because of the theorized link between affective valence and exercise adherence (e.g., Kahneman, 1999; Young, 1952).

**The Dual-Mode Model**

In addition to formulating a conceptual and methodological approach to affect assessment, Ekkekakis and colleagues (Ekkekakis, 2003, 2005; Ekkekakis & Acevedo, 2006; Ekkekakis et al., 2005) have posited the dual-mode model for understanding affective response to exercise of different intensities. An underlying premise of the model is that when examining the exercise-affect-adherence relationship, intensity is best defined with respect to the ventilatory threshold (VT; Svedahl & MacIntosh, 2003) instead of percentage of maximum heart rate or maximum oxygen consumption (e.g., American College of Sports Medicine, 2005). Consistent with a review of studies (Ekkekakis, 2003), the dual-mode model posits that exercise performed below the VT, at the VT, and above the VT elicits shifts in affective valence that are consistently positive, highly variable, and consistently negative, respectively (Ekkekakis, 2003, 2005; Ekkekakis & Acevedo, 2006). The words *dual mode* in the dual mode model refer to cognitive and interoceptive influences on affective response to exercise of different intensities. According to the model, interoceptive factors have increasing influence on affective
responses as the intensity of exercise exceeds the VT and physiological homeostasis is threatened, thus accounting for the consistent decline in affective valence during exercise above the VT. During exercise at or approaching the VT, however, cognitive variables, such as goals, perceived efficacy, and expectations are more important, which accounts for the variability observed in this intensity range. Finally, the model stipulates that cognitive factors have less importance during exercise below the VT than during exercise at the VT, with interoceptive factors having almost no influence, as this intensity is no threat to homeostasis and is experienced by most people as pleasant (Ekkekakis, 2003, 2005; Ekkekakis & Acevedo, 2006; Ekkekakis et al., 2005).

It should be noted that most of the research on the dual mode model has involved healthy, relatively active adults (Ekkekakis et al., 2005); thus, some of the posited relationships are yet to be adequately tested among people of various ages, levels of exercise experience, and health status. For example, the dual mode model stipulates less variability—though still “low to moderate” variability—in affective responses to low intensity exercise (relative to moderate intensity exercise). Thus, for individuals who are sedentary and lack experience with exercise, negative cognitive states could lead to displeasure even in response to low intensity exercise. Indeed, at least one study conducted among previously sedentary participants suggests that variability in affective response to exercise may occur at intensities below the VT, as well as intensities at the VT (Welch, Hulley, Ferguson, & Beauchamp, 2007). Additional research is needed to examine the relationships posited in the dual mode model among unfit, sedentary, and clinical populations.

Hedonic Theory

The dual mode model provides a framework for understanding affective responses to exercise of varying intensities; hedonic theory provides a framework for understanding how affective response to exercise relates to exercise adherence. Hedonic theory can be traced at least as far back as Bentham (1789/2007), who stated, “Nature has placed mankind under the governance of two sovereign masters, pain and pleasure. . . . They govern us in all we do, in all we say, in all we think . . .” (p. 1). This idea that people seek to enhance or prolong pleasure and avoid or minimize pain is often referred to as the hedonic principle (e.g., Higgins, 1997). While the hedonic principle has had wide-ranging influence on psychological (and economic) theorizing and research, it has progressed along multiple divergent paths and lacks a single, unifying model, perhaps because of its overwhelming pervasiveness. In this article, hedonic theory comprises all theoretical models that explain behavior as a function of its affective consequences or anticipation of its affective consequences. I provide here a brief overview of some of the various manifestations of hedonic theory that are most relevant to the presently proposed model, and I refer readers to the referenced articles and texts for in-depth discussions.

The hedonic principle was represented in psychological theory as early as Thorndike’s (1911) dictum that behaviors are “stamped in when pleasure results from the act and stamped out when it doesn’t” (p. 147; see also Freud, 1920/1952). While affective processes were not prominent in prevailing theories of behavior
inspired by Thorndike’s work (e.g., Skinner, 1950), Young (1959) saw affective processes as the intervening variable between stimulus and response (p. 104), and as an alternative to Hull’s (1952) drive reduction in explaining reinforcement and punishment (Young, 1952, p. 261). More recently, Kahneman (1999), in his “hedonic psychology,” has adopted Bentham’s (1789/2007) general idea that the perceived utility of a behavior (or experience) is defined by one’s affective response to the behavior, and will determine whether the behavior will be repeated. However, Kahneman and colleagues’ research has shown that people’s retrospective, global evaluation of a behavior differs from the aggregate of instantaneous, affective experiences that occur during the course of the behavior (Kahneman, Wakker, & Sarin, 1997). Specifically, when formulating a retrospective evaluation of a behavior (or experience) people tend to place greater emphasis on the most intense (i.e., peak) and most recent (i.e., ending) instantaneous affective experiences that occurred during the target behavior (Fredrickson & Kahneman, 1993; Kahneman, Fredrickson, Schreiber, & Redelmeier, 1993; Redelmeier & Kahneman, 1996; Varey & Kahneman, 1992), rather than considering the aggregate of all affective experiences. On the other hand, when anticipating affective response to a behavior or experience, people tend to focus on only the most salient aspects (Kahneman, Krueger, Schkade, Schwarz, & Stone, 2006; Schkade & Kahneman, 1998), as well as the initial contrast between their current affective state and the anticipated affective state (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).

Other psychological models have also sought to understand the influence of anticipated affective responses on behavior. For example, according to response expectancy theory (Kirsch, 1990) and expected pleasure theory (Mellers, Schwartz, & Ritov, 1999), behaviors are determined by the expectation of affective response to the behavior and its associated outcomes. In addition, both models offer hypotheses that link expected affective response to actual affective response. In response expectancy theory, anticipated affective response to a behavior may influence one’s actual affective response when performing the behavior (Kirsch, 1997). In expected pleasure theory, affective response to a behavioral outcome is partially dependent on the subjective probability of that outcome and on the subjective value of outcomes not received (i.e., counterfactuals; Mellers, Schwartz, Ho, & Ritov, 1997; see also Bell, 1982, 1985 and Loomes & Sugden, 1982, 1986). Thus, both models emphasize the importance of expected pleasure versus displeasure in determining behavior, but each model offers more sophisticated, potentially complementary hypotheses involving how expected affective response relates to actual affective response.

Numerous additional psychological models, either explicitly or implicitly, are based on hedonic theory or seek to expand upon it (e.g., Bindra, 1974; Higgins, 1997; Kavanagh, Andrade, & May 2005; Lowenstein & Prelec, 1993; Wegener & Petty, 1994). In terms of health behavior research, hedonic theory has been used extensively in studies of obesity and eating (e.g., Cota, Tschop, Horvath, & Levine, 2006; Finlayson, King, & Blundell, 2007; Garg, Wansink, & Inman, 2007; Mela, 2006; Urala & Lahteenmaki, 2006), smoking (Blendy, Strasser, Walters, Perkins, & Patterson et al., 2005; Cook, Spring, McChargue, & Hedeker, 2004; Dawkins, Acaster, & Powell, 2007; Kenny & Markou, 2005; J. D. Robinson, Cinciripini, Tiffany, Carter, & Lam et al., 2007; Shiffman, Ferguson, & Gwaltney, 2006), and substance abuse (Berridge, 2007; Everitt & Robbins, 2005;
Le Foll, Goldberg, & Sokoloff, 2005; Stevens, Peschk, & Schwarz, 2007). However, hedonic theory has rarely been applied to exercise behavior (see below for notable exceptions) perhaps because it is only recently that variability in acute affective responses to exercise has been systematically examined as a potential determinant of exercise adherence.

Given the lack of research applying hedonic theory to exercise promotion and adherence, the field is ripe for testing the multitude of hypotheses that can be generated from the hedonic models briefly mentioned above. In the following sections, a proposed model of exercise, affect, and adherence is presented that integrates the dual mode model and hedonic theory. The model is discussed in the context of previous research illustrating the potential of self-paced exercise to increase adherence to exercise programs.

**Self-Paced Exercise and Affective Response**

The hedonic principle has been demonstrated previously in the context of exercise by Cabanac and LeBlanc (1983), who systematically varied either treadmill intensity or ambient temperature and gave participants control over the other variable. They found that participants made adjustments to either treadmill intensity or ambient temperature to maximize pleasure and reduce displeasure (Cabanac & Leblanc, 1983). Consistent with these findings and with hedonic theory, research has shown that when people are asked to self-select their exercise intensity, they choose an intensity that results in a positive affective response (Ekkekakis et al., 2008; Ekkekakis & Petruzzello, 2000).

If people tend to maximize pleasure and minimize displeasure when self-selecting exercise intensity, then, intuitively, self-selected intensity exercise should elicit an affective response that is at least as positive as exercise performed at an imposed intensity. A number of recent studies have tested this idea. For example, Ekkekakis and Lind (2006) asked 16 overweight (mean age = 43.00 ± 5.40 years; mean BMI = 31.06 ± 4.91 kg/m²) and 9 normal weight (mean age = 43.67 ± 4.24 years; mean BMI = 22.34 ± 1.82 kg/m²) healthy, inactive women to complete one walking bout at a self-selected pace, and one at a prescribed intensity, set at a 10% faster pace than the self-selected pace. Affective valence stayed the same across time among all participants in the self-paced condition and among normal weight participants in the prescribed intensity condition, but declined significantly (i.e., became less positive) from baseline to 20 min among overweight participants in the prescribed intensity condition. Whereas this study showed a more positive affective response to self-paced versus prescribed intensity exercise among overweight women, an additional question of interest is whether the findings can be attributed to the self-selection of exercise intensity or to the fact that the self-paced exercise was at a lower intensity.

A study by Parfitt, Rose, and Burgess (2006) helps to address this issue. The authors used a within-subjects design to compare the effects of exercise above the lactate threshold (LT), below the LT, and self-selected intensity exercise on affective valence among 12 sedentary men (mean age = 36.5 ± 10.4 years; mean BMI = 28.5 ± 4.7 kg/m²). The above-LT condition resulted in a consistent decline in affective valence from before exercise to during exercise (83% of participants
declined, 17% of participants remained unchanged), and there was considerable variability (58% improved, 25% declined, 17% unchanged) in the below-LT condition. However, there was a consistent positive shift in the self-paced condition (93% improved, 7% unchanged) even though mean blood lactate level in the self-paced condition was between that of the below-lactate and above-lactate conditions, suggesting that the more positive affective response to self-paced exercise cannot be attributed solely to lower intensity of self-paced exercise. It should be noted that the LT—while representing a somewhat different physiological mechanism than the VT—occurs at virtually the same time (Svedahl & MacIntosh, 2003). However, in the study by Parfitt and colleagues (2006) above-LT and below-LT were defined by lactate concentration levels of 4 mmol/L and 2 mmol/L, respectively, instead of directly assessing each participant’s LT.

In a follow-up study conducted among 19 sedentary women (mean age = 39.37 ± 10.29 years; mean BMI = 25.5 ± 3.6 kg/m²), Rose and Parfitt (2007) added an at-LT exercise session to the protocol from the previous study and determined LT on an individual basis. Mean blood lactate level in the self-paced intensity condition was between the blood lactate level in the below-LT and at-LT conditions, and lower than the blood lactate level in the above-LT condition. As with the previous study (Parfitt et al., 2006), participants in the above-LT condition showed consistent declines in affective valence from preexercise to during exercise (79% declined, 11% improved, 10% were unchanged or fluctuated throughout the exercise bout). There was also considerable variability in shifts in affective valence in both below-LT (42% improved, 32% declined, 26% unchanged or fluctuated) and at-LT (21% improved, 42% declined, 37% unchanged or fluctuated) conditions. In the self-paced condition, affective response was more consistently positive, although there was still considerable variability (47% improved, 26% declined, 26% unchanged or fluctuated).

Taken together, affective response to self-paced exercise appears to be more positive than response to prescribed intensity exercise, even when the self-paced exercise is above or at similar intensities to prescribed intensity exercise (Parfitt et al., 2006; Rose & Parfitt, 2007). Nonetheless, there was considerable variability in affective response to self-paced exercise in one study, with less than 50% of women having a positive affective response to self-paced exercise (Rose & Parfitt, 2007). Qualitative data from this study may provide some explanation for the variability, as at least some of the participants’ self-selected intensities may have been influenced by perceived experimenter expectations (Rose & Parfitt, 2007). Finally, the findings of Ekkekakis and Lind (2006) indicate that the difference in affective response to self-paced versus prescribed intensity exercise may be more pronounced among overweight adults.

**Potential Interoceptive Pathways Between Self-Paced Exercise and Affective Response**

Why might self-paced exercise elicit a more positive affective response than prescribed intensity exercise? According to the dual mode model, both interoceptive and cognitive factors influence affective response to exercise, with interoceptive factors having a greater impact as exercise exceeds the VT (Ekkekakis, 2003;
Ekkekakis et al., 2005). Indeed, studies have shown that affective valence consistently becomes less positive/more negative as the VT is exceeded (Ekkekakis, Hall, & Petruzzello, 2004; Hall et al., 2002; Welch et al., 2007), presumably for evolutionarily adaptive reasons (Ekkekakis, 2003), as exercise above the VT cannot be maintained for a prolonged period of time (Wasserman, 1987).

Unfortunately, traditional exercise prescriptions may result in exercise that exceeds the VT. The American College of Sports Medicine and American Heart Association (Haskell et al., 2007) recommend exercise of at least moderate intensity, which is defined generally as 3–6 metabolic equivalents (e.g., a 3–4 mph walk), or more specifically, as 64–76% of maximum heart rate, 40–60% heart rate reserve (HRR), or 40–60% of maximal oxygen uptake (American College of Sports Medicine, 2005). The latter prescription can only be obtained through maximal fitness testing; however, even this “gold standard” intensity prescription does not necessarily map on to metabolic processes (Ekkekakis et al., 2004; Welch et al., 2007). Indeed, among healthy adults, VTs may range from 35 to 80% of maximal oxygen consumption (Palange, Ward, & Whipp, 2006). Among overweight and previously sedentary individuals—the population most likely to be targeted in exercise promotion programs—VTs may be even more likely to fall outside the range of standard exercise prescriptions. This inconsistency between VT and traditional methods of prescribing moderate intensity exercise may be even greater when less individualized prescriptions are used, such as percent of age-predicted maximal heart rate, or 3–4 mph walking. Moreover, even if one’s VT does fall within the range of prescribed moderate intensity, the breadth of typically prescribed ranges (e.g., 40–60% VO$_{2\text{max}}$) increases the likelihood that one will be at, above, or below their VT at different intensities within the prescribed intensity range.

In contrast to prescribed exercise intensity, self-paced exercise, according to hedonic theory, should not exceed the VT, so as to avoid the decline in affective valence associated with this higher intensity exercise. Indeed, a number of studies have shown that when allowed to self-select exercise intensity, people tend to select an intensity that approaches, but does not exceed the VT (Ekkekakis, Lind, & Joens-Matre, 2006; Lind, Joens-Matre, & Ekkekakis, 2005; Parfitt et al., 2006; Rose & Parfitt, 2007). Thus, exercise that is self-paced seems less likely to exceed the VT than exercise intensity prescribed by traditional methods (e.g., 40–60% VO$_{2\text{max}}$; 64–76% maximal heart rate), and thus is less likely to result in a decline in affective valence.

There are, however, some caveats with respect to variability in self-selected intensity. For example, Focht & Hausenblas (2003) showed that previously low-active female college students participating in a study on social physique anxiety showed a (nonsignificant) trend toward higher intensity exercise in a crowded university fitness center compared with a private laboratory setting. This study, one of few examining self-selected intensity in naturalistic settings, suggests that environmental factors may influence the self-selection of exercise intensity. Moreover, in one laboratory study of previously sedentary women, mean intensity for self-selected exercise approached the VT; however, there was considerable variability, with a range of 62–160% of oxygen consumption at the VT (Ekkekakis et al., 2006). Thus, while Ekkekakis and colleagues have argued for self-selection of exercise intensity, at least for overweight and chronically sedentary populations
(Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006), they also warn that individual differences and inexperience (as well as environmental conditions) may lead to exercise that exceeds the VT (Ekkekakis et al., 2006). An intuitively appealing alternative is to ask participants to self-select their intensity, but to avoid exercise that elicits a decline in affective valence, thus increasing the likelihood that the individual will approach, but not exceed the VT (Ekkekakis et al., 2004).

**Potential Cognitive Pathways Between Self-Paced Exercise and Affective Response**

As discussed in the preceding section, exercise that exceeds the VT generally results in a decline in affective valence (Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006; Parfitt et al., 2006; Rose & Parfitt, 2007), thus potentially explaining why self-paced exercise elicits more positive affective responses than higher intensity exercise (see also Kilpatrick, Kraemer, Bartholomew, Acevedo, & Jarreau, 2007; Parfitt, Eston, & Connolly, 1996; Parfitt, Markland, & Holmes, 1994). Recall, however, that self-paced exercise also elicited a more positive affective response than exercise at lower or similar intensities (Parfitt et al., 2006; Rose & Parfitt, 2007). What might account for these findings?

According to the dual mode model, the variability in affective response to exercise below the VT can be attributed to cognitive factors. A discussion of potential cognitive pathways from self-paced exercise to basic affective response is almost completely speculative given the near absence of research in this area. Nonetheless, a number of authors have pointed out that the influence of choice of exercise intensity on cognitive factors is consistent with self-determination theory (Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006; Parfitt et al., 2006; Parfitt, Rose, & Markland, 2000; Rose & Parfitt, 2007), which posits that increased choice over behavior leads to enhanced perceptions of competence and autonomy (Deci & Ryan, 1985; Ryan & Deci, 2000). In fact, previous research has shown that giving participants choice over their behavior leads to greater feelings of autonomy or self-determination, which in turn enhance behavior or increase persistence at a behavioral task (Moller, Deci, & Ryan, 2006; Thogersen-Ntoumani & Ntoumanis, 2006; G. C. Williams, Freedman, & Deci, 1998; G. C. Williams, Grow, Freedman, Ryan, & Deci, 1996; G. C. Williams, Rodin, Ryan, Grolnick, & Deci, 1998; Zuckerman, Porac, Lathin, Smith, & Deci, 1978). Specific to exercise behavior, research has shown that the ability to choose one's mode of exercise is related to more positive affective response to the exercise than when the mode of exercise is imposed (Daley & Maynard, 2003; Parfitt & Gledhill, 2004).

In addition, consistent with response expectancy theory, anticipated affective response to exercise may directly influence actual affective response. Peoples’ expectations about how they will respond to alcohol, drugs, and chemotherapy have been shown to predict actual responses to alcohol consumption (Goldman, Darkes, & Del Boca, 1999), drug use (Vogel-Sprott & Fillmore, 1999), and anticipatory nausea among chemotherapy patients (Montgomery & Bovbjerg, 2001), respectively. Similarly, Desharnais and colleagues (1993) found that those who were led to believe that a physical activity program would improve their mood showed greater improvements in self-esteem than those who were told that the program would yield only physiological benefits.
In summary, based on self-determination theory and response expectancy theory, both perceived autonomy and anticipated affective response are potential cognitive pathways that could, in addition to interoceptive factors, mediate the impact of self-paced exercise on affective response to exercise. Again, readers should be cautioned, as there are currently very little data that directly support this argument.

**Affective Response and Exercise Adherence**

As discussed earlier, hedonic theory (Kahneman, 1999; Young, 1952) posits that human behavior is shaped by a tendency to maximize pleasure and minimize displeasure. Moreover, Kahneman, Fredrickson, Schreiber, and Redelmeier (1993) have shown that affective responses to a behavior may influence decisions regarding whether to repeat the behavior. This link between affective response to behavior and behavioral maintenance has recently been hypothesized in the context of exercise (Cabanac, 2006; Ekkekakis et al., 2005). However, only a few studies have empirically examined the link between affective response to exercise and future physical activity.

One set of studies conducted by Annesi (Annesi, 2002a, 2002b, 2005) and Berger and Owen (1992) measured participants’ affect before and after exercise participation biweekly during a 14- to 15-week period. Differences in pre–post scores were aggregated over time, and used to predict attendance at the exercise facility during the program period. Two additional studies measured affective states before and after a baseline exercise session to predict exercise participation during the subsequent 10 weeks (Klonoff, Anneck, & Landrine, 1994) or 6 months (Carels, Berger, & Darby, 2006). Findings from these studies have been mixed, with some showing support for the hypothesized relationships (Berger & Owen, 1992), others showing no support (Annesi, 2002a, 2002b; Klonoff et al., 1994), and still others showing hypothesized relationships only for some of the measured affective states (Annesi, 2005; Carels et al., 2006) or only among participants with low self-motivation, with opposite relationships among those with high self-motivation (Annesi, 2002a).

While these studies were among the first to explore the link between affective response to exercise and exercise adherence, this was not the sole purpose of any of the studies reviewed. Thus, the mixed findings may be attributed to methodology that was not ideal for examining this particular hypothesis, including the measurement of distinct affective states rather than basic affect (Annesi, 2002a, 2002b, 2005; Berger & Owen, 1992; Carels et al., 2006; Klonoff et al., 1994), measurement of affect before and after, rather than during exercise (Annesi, 2002a, 2002b, 2005; Berger & Owen, 1992; Carels et al., 2006; Klonoff et al., 1994), temporal overlap between measurement of affective response to exercise and exercise participation (Annesi, 2002a, 2002b, 2005; Berger & Owen, 1992), and lack of control for baseline physical activity levels (Berger & Owen, 1992) and preexercise affective states (Carels et al., 2006).

D. M. Williams and colleagues (2008) attempted to overcome the weaknesses of these previous studies by assessing basic affective response during a moderate intensity exercise stimulus and examining its relationship with adherence to a physical activity program 6 and 12 months later. Results showed that affective
response to the moderate intensity exercise stimulus at baseline predicted physical activity participation both 6 and 12 months later when controlling for baseline and 6-month physical activity levels, respectively, as well as affect before the baseline exercise task and rated perceived exertion during the exercise task. While this study overcame a number of weaknesses of prior studies, it had its own weaknesses, including a small sample size (n = 31) and reliance on a single rating of affective valence as the independent variable.

A recent cross-sectional study (Kiviniemi et al., 2007) showed that affective associations with physical activity (i.e., “how participants feel when considering physical activity,” p. 154) were predictive of current physical activity behavior, above and beyond demographics, perceived behavioral control, perceived social norms, perceived severity and susceptibility to health problems, and perceived benefits and barriers of physical activity. Moreover, affective associations mediated the effects of these other cognitive variables on physical activity behavior. This study was novel in its examination of the mediational role of affect in the link between cognition and behavior. However, additional research, using prospective designs, is needed to understand how affective associations—which are based on past experiences with exercise—relate to acute affective responses to ongoing exercise, and ultimately, future exercise behavior.

Potential Pathways Between Affective Response to Exercise and Exercise Adherence

Hedonic theory can also be used as a framework for understanding how affective response to exercise might influence adherence to exercise programs. In their models of hedonic processes, Kahneman (1999), Kirsch (1990), and Mellers (2000) posit that the expected affective response to a behavior or experience will determine whether the behavior/experience will be repeated (see also Gilbert & Wilson, 2007). Some researchers have called for the incorporation of anticipated affective response into existing social-cognitive models (Perugini & Bagozzi, 2001; Van der Pligt & de Vries, 1998; D. M. Williams, Anderson, & Winett, 2005), and several studies have examined anticipated affective response—mostly anticipated regret—on risky sexual behavior (Richard, de Vries, & Van der Pligt, 1998; Richard, Van der Pligt, & de Vries, 1995, 1996a), driving and pedestrian safety (Evans & Norman, 2003; Parker, Manstead, & Stradling, 1995), alcohol and drug abuse (Murgraff, McDermott, White, & Phillips, 1999; Richard, van der Pligt, & de Vries, 1996b), and smoking (Moan, Rise, & Andersen, 2005). However, few studies have systematically studied the impact of expected affective response on future exercise behavior. In a pair of studies, Abraham and Sheeran (2003, 2004) showed that higher anticipated regret for not exercising in the next 2 weeks was correlated with intentions to exercise and subsequent exercise behavior and strengthened the relationship between behavioral intentions and exercise behavior. In addition, in an experimental design, focusing on anticipated regret resulted in higher ratings of intentions to exercise (Abraham & Sheeran, 2004, study 2). Even though these studies provide an early indication that anticipated affective response to exercise may influence exercise behavior, more research is needed, particularly research that examines anticipated, immediate basic affective response to exercise as a determinant of exercise adherence.
Potential Public Health Implications

In the preceding sections, existing evidence was reviewed that provides preliminary support for an integrative model linking exercise intensity, affective response, and exercise adherence (Figure 1). In the context of this model, we also discussed preliminary evidence suggesting that self-paced exercise—relative to imposed intensity exercise—may enhance affective response. As outlined above, more research is needed to support the proposed relationships. However, even if it is assumed that self-paced exercise will lead to better adherence than prescribed intensity exercise, is it also likely to lead to more positive health outcomes? This is ultimately an empirical question, but is it one that is worth pursuing? That is, can a reasonable argument be made in favor of the health benefits of self-paced exercise? There are two general reasons to suspect that self-paced exercise may result in health benefits that are as good as or better than prescribed intensity exercise.

First, as discussed earlier, self-paced exercise tends to approach, but not exceed the VT (Ekkekakis et al., 2006; Lind et al., 2005; Parfitt et al., 2006; Rose & Parfitt, 2007), albeit with evidence for some variability (Ekkekakis et al., 2006). In addition to avoidance of the decline in affective valence associated with exercise that exceeds the VT, exercise at or just below the VT has been shown to have significant health benefits (e.g., Astorino, 1997), potentially as good as or better than those obtained when exercising above the VT (Aellen, Hollmann, & Boutellier, 1993; Boucher et al., 1985; Londeree, 1997; Tanaka et al., 1986; Weltman et al., 1992) or at moderate intensity as defined by percent of maximal heart rate (Ahmaidi et al., 1998; for a review, see Ekkekakis et al., 2004; Fabre, Masse-Biron, Ahmaidi, Adam, & Prefaut, 1997; Vallet et al., 1997). Given the health benefits associated with exercise just below the VT, and the avoidance of a deterioration in affective response, simply prescribing exercise at an intensity just below the VT seems like a viable alternative to self-paced exercise and traditional prescription schemes. However, prescribing exercise just below the VT is difficult because of the expensive and cumbersome procedures needed to ascertain the VT (i.e., exercise testing with collection of expired gases or blood samples). Moreover, as discussed earlier, because of potential cognitive mediators, self-paced exercise may lead to a more positive affective response, and thus better adherence, than exercise prescribed at similar intensities.

A second reason for the potential health benefits of self-paced exercise over traditional prescribed intensity exercise programs involves the distinction between exercise behavior and exercise prescriptions or recommendations. Numerous studies have examined the effects of exercise behavior on health outcomes (for reviews, see Shaw, Gennat, O’Rourke, & Del Mar, 2006; Shepard et al., 2001). This research provides valuable information on the health benefits of exercise of varying intensities, assuming the exercise program is adhered to (Figure 2, Path B). However, few studies have examined the effects of various exercise prescriptions on exercise behavior (Figure 2, Path A). Preliminary evidence supports better adherence as a result of higher frequency (i.e., 5–7 days per week; Perri et al., 2002) and moderate intensity (versus vigorous intensity) exercise prescriptions (Cox, Burke, Gorely, Beilin, & Puddey, 2003; J. Y. Lee et al., 1996; Perri et al., 2002), which is consistent with existing public health guidelines (e.g., Haskell et
Figure 1 — A model of exercise intensity, affective response, and exercise adherence.
al., 2007; Pate et al., 1995). However, no studies have compared adherence to self-paced exercise and prescribed intensity exercise. A study such as this would provide information on how self-paced versus, for example, moderate intensity exercise prescriptions impact health outcomes through their influence on adherence to the exercise program. Thus, even if moderate intensity exercise, as traditionally prescribed, resulted in greater health benefits per minute of exercise, the potential for better adherence to and maintenance of a self-paced exercise program could result in greater health benefits over the long term.

This may be especially true for overweight or obese adults, for whom energy expenditure is one of the primary benefits of exercise (Hu et al., 1999; I. M. Lee, Rexrode, Cook, Manson, & Buring, 2001; I. M. Lee & Skerrett, 2001; Manson et al., 1999). Indeed, physical activity among overweight and obese adults has particular public health significance as approximately 60% of the U.S. population is overweight or obese (Ogden et al., 2006), only 20% of overweight or obese adults meet the minimum national recommendations (30 min per day and five days per week of at least moderate intensity exercise; MMWR, 2000), and overweight or obese participants are more likely than normal weight participants to discontinue exercise programs (King et al., 1997; Kriska et al., 1986). Moreover, self-paced exercise may sometimes result in a lower exercise intensity than exercise prescribed at moderate intensity, as currently recommended in national guidelines (e.g., Focht & Hausenblas, 2003; D. M. Williams, 2007). Compared with moderate intensity exercise, the lower intensity of self-paced exercise will have negative effects on energy expenditure in the short term. However, because overweight adults are likely to experience exercise that exceeds the VT (e.g., brisk walking) as aversive (Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006; Mattsson, Larsson, & Rossner, 1997), self-paced exercise may eventually lead to greater energy expenditure, and associated health benefits (Hu et al., 1999; I. M. Lee et al., 2001; I. M. Lee & Skerrett, 2001; Manson et al., 1999), due to increased adherence to the exercise program. Finally, as people become more experienced exercisers, they may be more likely to increase their pace to a level that results in greater physiological adaptations.

The feasibility of a home-based, self-paced walking program was examined in a recent pilot study among 13 predominantly female (92%), non-Hispanic Caucasian (85%) adults (mean age = 45.8, SD = 11.0; mean BMI = 29.9 kg/m², SD = 6.2; D. M. Williams, 2007). At baseline, participants underwent a behavioral counseling program used previously in other exercise promotion trials (e.g., Marcus et al., 2007) focusing on social cognitive principles, such as goal setting and overcoming barriers. Participants, who were inactive or low active at baseline (i.e., exercised < 90 min/week, mean = 8.6, SD = 22.1), were instructed to walk 5–7 days/week for 30 min/day at a self-selected intensity, but to avoid exercise that elicited unpleasant feelings (Ekkekakis et al., 2004). In addition, to help
regulate their affect, participants were instructed to imagine their Feeling Scale ratings while walking to avoid a decrease in pleasure. Over the course of the 1-month program, participants increased to a mean of 142.2 min/week (SD = 72.8) of self-paced walking for exercise (completed in purposeful bouts of at least 10 min), with a mean intensity of 37% HRR (SD = 12.6%), as measured by heart rate monitors with recording capability (Timex Corporation). Program satisfaction ratings averaged 4.62 on a scale of 1–5, and all 13 participants said they would recommend the program to a friend. These findings show preliminary support for the feasibility of home-based, self-paced walking programs among predominantly overweight or obese women. However, additional research is needed to compare adherence to self-paced walking programs with adherence to programs with traditional exercise intensity prescriptions.

**Conclusions and Future Directions**

There is emerging evidence that self-paced exercise elicits a more positive affective response than prescribed intensity exercise, and that affective response to exercise leads to increased adherence to exercise programs. These findings are consistent with a model of exercise, affect, and adherence that represents an integration of the dual mode model and hedonic theory (Figure 1). Given its focus on affect, it is not intended that the proposed model replace existing health behavior theories, which tend to focus more on cognitive and social factors (Ajzen, 1991; Bandura, 1986; King et al., 2002; Prochaska & DiClemente, 1984; Rogers, 1983). Self-regulatory strategies emphasized in these models, such as goal-setting, self-monitoring, and enlisting social support should be viewed as complementary to the proposed model, which focuses exclusively on the mechanisms of the exercise stimulus (e.g., self-paced versus prescribed intensity). The potential for self-paced exercise to improve adherence to exercise programs among previously sedentary individuals is likely dependent on its effective integration with established programs of behavioral counseling (e.g., D. M. Williams, 2007). In addition, although this article has focused on exercise intensity, there may be other aspects of the exercise stimulus that influence affective processes and exercise adherence as indicated in the model, such as the exercise setting and mode.

The proposed model is intended as a vehicle to promote future research, not as a recommendation for practice, owing to the premature nature of supporting evidence. Indeed, more research is needed to further support the relationships posited in the model. For example, what is the source of variability in affective response to self-paced exercise? Does overweight status moderate the effect of self-paced versus prescribed exercise on affective response? Do perceived autonomy and anticipated affective responses mediate the influence of self-paced exercise on affective response? Does affective response to exercise predict future exercise behavior? If so, does anticipated affective response mediate the affect-adherence link?

Many additional hypotheses can be generated from the multiple manifestations of hedonic theory. For example, do recent hedonic states impact the perceived pleasure/displeasure of exercise (Zellner, Allen, Henley, & Parker, 2006)? Do hedonic principles have less impact on exercise behavior in social situations
(Erber & Erber, 2000)? To what extent do nonvalence aspects of affective response to exercise influence future exercise behavior (Zemack-Rugar, Bettman, & Fitzsimons, 2007)? Can desire to exercise be increased by pairing exercise goals with positively valenced affective stimuli (Custers & Aarts, 2005)? How does partitioning of exercise into multiple daily bouts impact affective response (Ariely & Zauberman, 2003; Morewedge, Gilbert, Keysar, Berkovits, & Wilson, 2007)? Is anticipated affective response to exercise—and in turn, future exercise behavior—influenced by global, retrospective evaluations of affective responses to previous exercise or by aggregation of instantaneous affective states that occur during exercise (Kahneman, 1999)?

Finally, will existing laboratory research relevant to the proposed model generalize to naturalistic settings? The rapidly developing field of ecological momentary assessment (EMA; Stone, Shiffman, Atienza, & Nebeling, 2007) may be a key to answering this critical question. Ecological momentary assessment is characterized by repeated assessments of participants’ experiences (e.g., behavior, cognition, emotion, surroundings) in real time and in their natural environment (Stone, Shiffman, Atienza, & Nebeling, 2007) and, for a number of reasons, is an ideal methodology for examining the relationship between exercise and affective response. First, because EMA occurs in “real time,” it minimizes the considerable bias introduced when participants are asked to recall experiences retrospectively (M. D. Robinson & Clore, 2002; Shiffman, Hufford, & Hickcox, 1997). Second, EMA occurs in participants’ natural environments, thus minimizing demand or expectancy bias that results from assessments conducted at the laboratory site (Pickering & Devereux, 1987). Third, EMA allows for repeated assessment over time, which provides a rich data set that allows for the fine-grained examination of complex patterns of experience. In addition to the advantages of EMA noted above, electronic diaries have the added advantage of time-stamping responses. This allows the researcher to know exactly when the response occurred and prevents the participant from “hoarding” numerous questionnaires and responding to them at a later time.

While there are a growing number of studies that have used EMA to assess exercise behavior (Atienza, Oliveira, Fogg, & King, 2006; Dunton, Whalen, Jammer, & Floro, 2007; Dunton, Whalen, Jammer, Henker, & Floro, 2005; Focht, Ewing, Gauvin, & Rejeski, 2002; Gauvin, Rejeski, & Reboussin, 2000; King et al., 2008; Liszka-Hackzell & Martin, 2004), few studies have examined affective responses to exercise via EMA (Focht, Gauvin, & Rejeski, 2004; Gauvin, Rejeski, & Norris, 1996; Vansteelandt, Rijmen, Pieters, Probst, & Vanderlinden, 2007; Yoshiuchi et al., 2007), and no study has examined the link between acute affective response to exercise and exercise adherence. In addition to exploring some of the questions raised above, future research using EMA should address some of its limitations, such as need for technological savvy, difficulty promoting compliance with rigorous assessment schedules, technical problems, and potential for socially desirable responses. The assessment of real-time affective responses to exercise in natural environments will be critical to fully understanding the relationships posited in the proposed model.

In closing, it is important to note that all exercise that is freely undertaken may be considered “self-paced,” including exercise that has been prescribed at a specific intensity. That is, exercisers are likely to be influenced by how the exercise...
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stimulus makes them feel, regardless of whether they have been instructed to do so. What may vary is the extent to which they allow their affect to determine their selected intensity instead of personal or imposed expectations about what intensity they should be performing. A shift in physical activity guidelines, emphasizing performance of exercise at an intensity that feels good, rather than at a specific prescribed intensity, could result in a more sustainable exercise experience and enhanced health outcomes.
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